EXHIBIT E

BY MR. COOLEY:

Q. Mr. Vandeven, yesterday
there were a series of questions
about Handy & Harman wastes and then
some questions about individual
company wastes as opposed to types of
wastes.

And in that line of questions you testified, I believe, that you did not have any knowledge, specific or general about the wastes of Handy & Harman.

Now, I know there were then some follow-up questions or later questions regarding a certain sludge material. But Mr. Harris then attempted to confirm for us what your opinions would relate to and what they wouldn't relate to.

So my question, with that reminder is as follows: Is it true for each of the defendants in this case that you do not have any knowledge specifically about their

DEPOSITION OF JAY VANDEVEN, VOLUME II, 2/14/07

1	wastes generated at their facilities
2	and you are not going to be rendering
3	any opinions about the wastes
4	specifically generated by the
5	defendants in this case?
6	A. That's true, yes.
7	Q. Would the same be true for
8	the plaintiff companies in the case?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. HARRIS: Just an excess
11	of caution, he will be saying that
12	circuit board etchant may have been
13	disposed at the site and that it's an
14	acidic solution to dissolve copper
15	and manufactured circuit boards, but
16	he won't say that that's in your
17	guy's waste stream versus anybody
18	else's waste stream.
19	BY MR. COOLEY:
20	Q. Okay. Well, why don't we
21	turn then to that page, which I
22	believe is 15 of Vandeven-1, your
23	original expert report.
24	A You have taken all the